Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/064,929	CONNELLY, THOMAS V.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Frank M. Lawrence	1724
All Participants:	Status of Application	: allowed
(1) <u>Frank M. Lawrence</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Kevin Kercher</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 October 2004	Time: <u>4 pm e.t.</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicate ☐ Applicate ☐ Applicate ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
none		
Claims discussed: 26, 28, 30		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER	RAL NATURE OF WHAT W	AS DISCUSSED.
An examiner's amendment in order to put the application in condicorrecting typographical errors in claims 28 and 30 and removing	y. c	
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	coard of the sale to	nitten summary of the substance
÷		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/A	pplicant's Representative	Signature – if approprieto
		orandrate – ir appropriate)